

Progress in calculating hexagon amplitudes at one-loop

T. Binoth^a

^aUniversity of Edinburgh, School of Physics,
EH9 3JZ Edinburgh, Scotland UK

In this article I review recent progress towards the analytical calculation of massless 6-point amplitudes. A way to organize such calculations is sketched and results for scattering amplitudes in the Yukawa model are presented.

1. Introduction

The high energy experiments of the next ten years are hadron colliders operating at the multi-TeV scale. Because of the high center-of-mass energies at the Tevatron and the LHC, the final states will look very complex. One may say that we are entering the decade of *multi*-particle/jet physics.

The expected jet rates at the LHC are huge. A leading order analysis is not adequate to make a detailed prediction for these cross sections. To address the problems with a leading order description three points can be made:

1) Large scale dependence: An N-jet cross section behaves like $\alpha_s(\mu)^N$, means scale uncertainties are amplified with the number of jets.

2) Peripheral phase space regions: Partonic cross sections are very sensitive to next-to-leading order effects, when severe cuts are applied, which is typical for background processes.

3) Jet structure: The more information about the matrix elements is known the better the internal structure of a jet can be described.

It is important to note that the ability to detect New Physics at the LHC and Tevatron crucially depends on the understanding of the corresponding Standard Model backgrounds. All that is sufficient motivation to treat the generic multi-particle/jet final states at the next-to-leading order (NLO) level.

Presently our computational skills for describing N-jet production at NLO end already at N=3. The pioneering work to calculate the amplitudes for two-jet processes at NLO in hadronic collisions was accomplished by Ellis and Sexton in

1986 [1]. The partonic amplitudes relevant for 3 jet production were provided by Bern, Dixon and Kosower [2] and Kunszt, Signer, Trócsányi [4] in 1993/94. New technology was invented for these calculations borrowed partly from string theory. Further, supersymmetry relations were exploited and helicity methods were applied at the NLO level [5]. Other needed ingredients were reduction formulas for Feynman parameter integrals with nontrivial numerators and representations of scalar 5-point functions (pentagon integrals) [6]. For QCD the step to NLO 6-point amplitudes has not been taken yet, albeit its phenomenological relevance for collider physics.

2. Scalar scattering in the Yukawa model

To understand the organization of hexagon amplitudes and their efficient computation it is necessary to investigate examples which do not exhibit the full complexity of a generic gauge theory amplitude. An amplitude which contains only scalars as external particles is likely to be a good candidate. Let us consider 6-scalar scattering in the Yukawa model.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{yuk}} = \bar{\psi} [i \not{\partial} - m - g\phi] \psi + \mathcal{L}_\phi \quad (1)$$

We restrict ourselves to the massless case and the fermion loop contribution. The corresponding amplitude is given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{yuk}}^\phi[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6] = \frac{-g^6}{(4\pi)^{n/2} 6} \sum_{\pi \in S_6} \mathcal{A}(p_{\pi_1}, p_{\pi_2}, p_{\pi_3}, p_{\pi_4}, p_{\pi_5}, p_{\pi_6}) \quad (2)$$

Each permutation corresponds to a single Feynman diagram. The amplitude for the trivial per-

mutation is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) = \int \frac{d^n k}{i\pi^{n/2}} \frac{\text{tr}(\not{q}_1 \not{q}_2 \not{q}_3 \not{q}_4 \not{q}_5 \not{q}_6)}{q_1^2 q_2^2 q_3^2 q_4^2 q_5^2 q_6^2} \quad (3)$$

where $q_j = k - r_j = k - p_1 - \dots - p_j$. Working out the spinor trace one ends up with scalar products of loop and external momenta. One can show that all scalar products which contain loop momenta can be expressed as inverse propagators which can be canceled directly. In this way one is getting rid of all loop momenta in the numerator, or in other words: The Yukawa amplitude for multi-scalar scattering has a trivial tensor structure. A given graph of the scalar hexagon amplitude decays immediately into scalar 3,4,5,6-point integrals.

The representation of the amplitude in terms of these scalar integrals is not useful as spurious infrared poles are present which have to cancel in the full amplitude. One can show that none of the graphs is actually infrared divergent. The tool to make the infrared cancellations manifest are scalar reduction formulas. The N-point n-dimensional scalar integral integral in Feynman parameter space is given by

$$I_N^n(p_1, \dots, p_N) = (-1)^N \Gamma(N - n/2) \int_0^\infty d^N x \frac{\delta(1 - \sum_{l=1}^N x_l)}{(x \cdot S \cdot x/2)^{N-n/2}} \quad (4)$$

and the following reduction formula holds

$$I_N^n = \sum_{k=1}^N B_k I_{N-1,k}^n - (N - n - 1) \sum_{k=1}^N B_k I_N^{n+2} \quad (5)$$

S is the matrix which carries the kinematic information. Its entries are Mandelstam variables $s_{ij\dots} = (p_i + p_j + \dots)^2$ formed by the external momenta $p_1, \dots, p_N$. $I_{N-1,k}^n$ stands for the k th pinch integral of I_N^n , means one leaves out the k th propagator in the momentum representation. The reduction coefficients B_k are the solutions of a simple linear equation $\sum_{l=1}^N S_{kl} B_l = -1$. They will play a special role in what follows. They

can be written very compactly in terms of spinor traces. For example

$$B_1 = \frac{\text{tr}(123456)\text{tr}(3456) - 2s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}\text{tr}(6123)}{4s_{12}s_{23}s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}s_{61} - \text{tr}(123456)^2}$$

and the other B 's are obtained by cyclic permutations. Here $\text{tr}(12\dots)$ is a shorthand for $\text{tr}(\not{p}_1 \not{p}_2 \dots)$, for details see [7].

Applying the reduction formulas allows to express each Feynman diagram in terms of triangle graphs with 0,1 or 2 light-like external momenta and 6-dimensional box functions. Only the triangle graphs with light-like legs carry infrared poles. As each individual Feynman diagram is infrared finite, these triangle graphs have to cancel. The cancellations of the infrared divergent triangles gave rise to very interesting insights. There are a number of nonlinear constraints between Mandelstam variables which are represented *linearly* in terms of the reduction coefficients, e.g. one finds

$$\text{tr}(3456) + \text{tr}(123456)B_1 + 2s_{34}s_{45}s_{56}B_4 = 0 \quad (6)$$

This made the whole structure of the calculation very transparent and an astonishingly compact result for the Yukawa 6-scalar amplitude could be derived [7]. It was found

$$\Gamma_{\text{yuk}}^\phi[p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6] = -\frac{g^6}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_6} G(p_{\pi_1}, p_{\pi_2}, p_{\pi_3}, p_{\pi_4}, p_{\pi_5}, p_{\pi_6}) \quad (7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) = & \frac{2}{3} I_3^n(p_{12}, p_{34}, p_{56}) \\ & + \left\{ B_1 \frac{[\text{tr}(6123) - 2s_{61}(s_{123} - s_{12})]}{s_{123}s_{345} - s_{12}s_{45}} \right. \\ & + B_2 \frac{[\text{tr}(1234) - 2s_{34}(s_{123} - s_{23})]}{s_{234}s_{123} - s_{23}s_{56}} \left. \right\} \\ & \times \log\left(\frac{s_{12}}{s_{123}}\right) \log\left(\frac{s_{23}}{s_{123}}\right) \\ & - \left\{ B_1 - B_2 \frac{[\text{tr}(1234) - 2s_{34}(s_{123} - s_{23})]}{2[s_{234}s_{123} - s_{23}s_{56}]} \right. \\ & - B_6 \frac{[\text{tr}(5612) - 2s_{56}(s_{345} - s_{61})]}{2[s_{345}s_{234} - s_{61}s_{34}]} \left. \right\} \times \\ & \left[\log\left(\frac{s_{12}}{s_{234}}\right) \log\left(\frac{s_{56}}{s_{234}}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \log \left(\frac{s_{34}}{s_{234}} \right) \log \left(\frac{s_{12}}{s_{56}} \right) \Big]$$

The result consists only of triangle functions and loglog terms. Apart from dilogarithms hidden in the finite triangle function no dilogarithms are present in the result. This can be understood by the fact that the dilogarithms which are present at intermediate steps can be related to single pole contributions from triangle graphs with one light-like leg and is infrared divergent. As the infrared divergence vanishes, the dilogarithms also have to vanish. The remarkably simple form of the amplitude could be achieved because it was understood that the reduction coefficients B_j are the natural building blocks of the amplitude. This lead to the *conjecture* that massless hexagon amplitudes in general gauge theories can be represented most compactly as some linear combination of reduction coefficients. Still the conjecture has to be if not proven then at least confirmed by other calculations which exhibit a non-trivial tensor structure.

3. $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow 4$ scalars in the Yukawa model

To study an amplitude with a non-trivial tensor structure we considered the gauged version of Eq. (1). For example the fermion loop contribution to the

$$\gamma(p_1)\gamma(p_2) \rightarrow \phi(-p_3)\phi(-p_4)\phi(-p_5)\phi(-p_6) \quad (8)$$

amplitude is given by a sum over permutations of Feynman diagrams which differ only by the position of the photons and scalars at the fermion loop.

$$\Gamma^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} = -\frac{g^4 e^2}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \mathcal{M}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2} = -\frac{g^4 e^2}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \times \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\pi \in S_6} \mathcal{A}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(p_{\pi_1}, p_{\pi_2}, p_{\pi_3}, p_{\pi_4}, p_{\pi_5}, p_{\pi_6}) \quad (9)$$

with, e.g.

$$\mathcal{A}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(p_1, p_{\pi_2}, p_{\pi_3}, p_{\pi_4}, p_{\pi_5}, p_{\pi_6}) = \int \frac{d^n k}{i\pi^{n/2}} \frac{\text{tr}(\not{\epsilon}_1^{\lambda_1} \not{q}_1 \not{\epsilon}_2^{\lambda_2} \not{q}_2 \not{q}_3 \not{q}_4 \not{q}_5 \not{q}_6)}{q_1^2 q_2^2 q_3^2 q_4^2 q_5^2 q_6^2}$$

Here λ_j are the helicities of the photons. $q_j = k - r_j = k - p_1 - \dots - p_j$ are the propagator

momenta. The amplitude exhibits an S_4 Bose symmetry, as the scalars are freely interchangeable. For a given ordering of the scalars one finds 20 different Feynman diagrams corresponding to the different possibilities to attach the two photons. This set forms a gauge invariant sub-set of all Feynman diagrams. It is sufficient to consider this sub-set and performing the summation over remaining permutations explicitly.

Gauge and Lorentz structure

To cope with the combinatorial complexity of hexagon amplitudes it is useful to split the problem into irreducible pieces as far as possible. The guidelines are the analyticity and Lorentz structure of the amplitude together with gauge invariance. The external momenta $p_1, \dots, p_6$ obey momentum conservation. To make this explicit we introduce the five vectors $r_j = p_1 + \dots + p_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$. We assume for the moment that the kinematics is non-exceptional, i.e. the 5 vectors define a 5-dimensional subspace of the n -dimensional space. The scattering tensor $\mathcal{M}$ has the following general decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu_1\mu_2} = A g^{\mu_1\mu_2} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^5 B_{j_1 j_2} r_{j_1}^{\mu_1} r_{j_2}^{\mu_2} \quad (10)$$

This representation contains 26 coefficients. The transversality conditions $\varepsilon_1 \cdot p_1 = 0$, $\varepsilon_2 \cdot p_2 = 0$ reduce the number of relevant coefficients to 17. Further, by gauge invariance

$$\mathcal{M}^{p_1 \varepsilon_2} = \mathcal{M}^{\varepsilon_1 p_2} = 0 \quad (11)$$

which leads to 7 independent linear relations between the tensor coefficients. They may be used to express all 17 coefficients in terms of 10 unconstrained coefficients, e.g. A , B_{ij} , $i, j = 3, 4, 5$. It follows that one has to calculate only these 10 independent coefficients. Note that gauge invariance is completely exploited in this way. On the other hand, calculating more coefficients and confirming the Ward identities explicitly provides a test of the calculation. By using helicity methods directly one also sees that 10 tensor coefficients are sufficient to determine the full amplitude.

The problem is now reduced to the computation of 10 tensor coefficients for 20 6-point Feynman diagrams.

Projection techniques

The tensor coefficients in Eq. (10) can be determined by acting with projection operators onto each Feynman diagram. This may be achieved by defining

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n-5} \left(g^{\mu\nu} - \sum_{j,k=1}^5 2r_j^\mu H_{jk} r_k^\nu \right) \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_j^\mu = 2 \sum_{k=1}^5 H_{jk} r_k^\nu \quad (13)$$

H is the inverse of the 5×5 Gram matrix defined by $G_{jk} = 2r_j \cdot r_k$. Note that the inverse of G is only defined if $n \neq 4$. This will not lead to a problem as one can cancel the inverse matrices before the limit $n \rightarrow 4$ has to be taken.

One may easily check the following relations for the tensors $\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{R}_j^\mu$:

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}_\rho{}^\nu = \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^\mu{}_\nu p_j^\nu = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^\mu{}_\mu = \text{tr}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{tr}(\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{P}) = 1 \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^\mu{}_\nu \mathcal{R}_j^\nu = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{j,\nu} r_k^\nu = \delta_{jk} \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{j,\nu} \mathcal{R}_l^\nu = 2 H_{jl} \quad (19)$$

$\mathcal{P}$ is a projector onto the $(n-5)$ dimensional subspace perpendicular to the 5 dimensional space spanned by the vectors r_j . $\mathcal{R}_{j,\nu}$ is the dual vector to r_j^ν relative to this $(n-5)$ -dimensional space. Here and in the following we use summation conventions for Lorentz and vector indices.

To determine the tensor coefficients, A and B_{kl} , we define the following linear operators

$$\tilde{A}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1\mu_2} \mathcal{M}^{\mu_1\mu_2}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{kl}(\mathcal{M}) = \left[\mathcal{R}_{k\mu_1} \mathcal{R}_{l\mu_2} - 2 H_{kl} \mathcal{P}_{\mu_1\mu_2} \right] \mathcal{M}^{\mu_1\mu_2}$$

The action of dual vectors $\mathcal{R}_l^\mu$ and projectors $\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}$ on Lorentz indices induces a natural mapping from the momentum to the Feynman parameter representation of the Feynman diagrams. When acting on Feynman diagrams one finds for example that

$$\int \frac{d^n k}{i\pi^{n/2}} \frac{k \cdot \mathcal{P} \cdot k}{q_1^2 \dots q_N^2} = -\frac{1}{2} I_N^{n+2} \quad (20)$$

$$\int \frac{d^n k}{i\pi^{n/2}} \frac{k \cdot \mathcal{R}_j}{q_1^2 \dots q_N^2} = I_N^n(j) \quad (21)$$

where the parameter integrals with non-trivial numerators are defined by [8]

$$I_N^n(j_1, \dots, j_R) = (-1)^N \Gamma(N - n/2) \int_0^\infty d^N x \frac{\delta(1 - \sum_{l=1}^N x_l) x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_R}}{(x \cdot S \cdot x/2)^{N-n/2}} \quad (22)$$

The reduction formulas necessary to express these integrals in terms of scalar integrals in shifted dimensions can be found in [6, 8, 9]. After applying the reduction formula the tensor coefficient of each Feynman graph is expressed in terms of scalar integrals with trivial numerators in shifted dimensions similar to the approach of [10].

Bases of scalar integrals

After having reduced the scalar integrals with non-trivial numerators each graph is written as a linear combination of scalar 2- to 6-point integrals in dimensions that are ranging from n to $n+4$. By applying the scalar reduction formula Eq. (5) and explicit formulas for $(n+2m)$ -dimensional triangle functions with light-like legs these integrals can be mapped to

$$I_2^n, I_{3,1\&2mass}^n, I_{3,3mass}^n, I_4^{n+2}, I_5^{n+2} \quad (23)$$

Now each Feynman diagram is decomposed into a linear combination of these functions. As each of the graphs is IR/UV finite, all IR/UV poles have to vanish. It turns out that the coefficients of I_2^n , $I_{3,1\&2mass}^n$, $I_{3,1mass}^{n+2}$ cancel as a whole in the amplitude. As we have proven in [8] that all higher dimensional integrals with more than four external legs have to vanish also the I_5^{n+2} part has to vanish on a graph by graph bases. Note that the sorting into different scalar integrals is also a sorting into different analyticity classes, as different scalar integrals have different cut structures. No cancellations are to be expected between these classes and indeed are not observed.

Result

With the procedure outlined above all tensor coefficients were calculated. The results for these are lengthy and not presentable. When combining them to form the actual $++$ and $+-$ helicity

amplitudes many interesting cancellations were observed which are due to the same relations that led to a compact result in the 6–scalar case.

We find for the $++$ amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{++} = \frac{\varepsilon_1^+ \cdot \varepsilon_2^+}{s_{12}} \sum_{\pi \in S_4} \Big\{ & C(1, \pi_3, 2, \pi_4, \pi_5, \pi_6) \\ & \times \left[F_1(s_{\pi_4 \pi_5}, s_{\pi_5 \pi_6}, s_{12 \pi_3}) \right. \\ & + F_1(s_{1 \pi_3}, s_{2 \pi_3}, s_{12 \pi_3}) \\ & - 2 F_1(s_{1 \pi_3}, s_{3 \pi_4}, s_{1 \pi_3 \pi_4}) \\ & + 2 F_2(s_{12 \pi_3}, s_{2 \pi_4 \pi_5}, s_{1 \pi_3}, s_{\pi_4 \pi_5}) \\ & - F_2(s_{1 \pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{2 \pi_4 \pi_5}, s_{1 \pi_3}, s_{2 \pi_5}) \\ & \left. - F_2(s_{1 \pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{2 \pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{\pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{\pi_5 \pi_6}) \right] \\ & + 2 F_2(s_{1 \pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{2 \pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{\pi_3 \pi_4}, s_{\pi_5 \pi_6}) \\ & \left. + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right\} \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) = 2 \\ + \frac{\text{tr}^+(3456)B_5 + \text{tr}^+(1234)B_1}{s_{34}} \\ + \frac{\text{tr}^+(6123)B_2 + \text{tr}^+(4561)B_4}{s_{16}} \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= -\log\left(\frac{s_1}{s_3}\right) \log\left(\frac{s_2}{s_3}\right) \\ F_2(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log^2\left(\frac{s_3}{s_4}\right) \\ &\quad - \text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{s_1 s_2}{s_3 s_4}\right) \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{tr}^+(j \dots) = \text{tr}((1 + \gamma_5) \not{p}_j \dots)/2$. The amplitude has indeed a simple representation in terms of reduction coefficients as conjectured above.

4. Conclusion

It was pointed out that NLO corrections to multi-particle/jet production at future colliders should be included to have reliable theoretical predictions. On the way to understand the organization of 6–point processes at the one-loop level analytical results have been presented for 6–scalar scattering in the Yukawa model. Very compact

expressions could be obtained by understanding the cancellation mechanisms of scalar integrals. In the case of a nontrivial tensor structure two-photon scattering in the gauged Yukawa model has been investigated. A result has been obtained in terms of tensor coefficients by exploiting the Lorentz, gauge and analyticity structure as far as possible. For the $++$ helicity amplitude a very compact representation could be obtained. The $+-$ amplitude is presently under investigation.

These results are steps towards a NLO description of $2 \rightarrow 4$ processes at future colliders. For a realistic QCD amplitude a lot of work remains to be done.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the organizers of the RADCOR/Loops and Legs 2002 conference for their work and the pleasant and stimulating atmosphere in Kloster Banz. I also want to thank J. Ph. Guillet, G. Heinrich and C. Schubert for giving me the opportunity to present some results of our collaboration.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. K. Ellis and J. C. Sexton, Nucl. Phys. B **269** (1986) 445.
- [2] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 2677.
- [3] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B **437** (1995) 259.
- [4] Z. Kunszt, A. Signer and Z. Trocsanyi, Phys. Lett. B **336** (1994) 529.
- [5] L. J. Dixon, TASI 95 lectures, arXiv:hep-ph/9601359.
- [6] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B **412** (1994) 751.
- [7] T. Binoth, J. P. Guillet, G. Heinrich and C. Schubert, Nucl. Phys. B **615** (2001) 385.
- [8] T. Binoth, J. P. Guillet and G. Heinrich, Nucl. Phys. B **572** (2000) 361.
- [9] G. Heinrich and T. Binoth, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **89** (2000) 246.
- [10] J. Fleischer, F. Jegerlehner, O. V. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. B **566** (2000) 423.